arXiv:1406.0199v2 [math.RA] 31 Jul 2014

THE MATRIX EQUATION $AX - XA = X^\alpha g(X)$ OVER FIELDS OR RINGS

GERALD BOURGEOIS

Abstract. Let $n, \alpha \geq 2$. Let K be an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0 or greater than n. We show that the dimension of the variety of pairs $(A, B) \in M_n(K)^2$, with B nilpotent, that satisfy $AB - BA = A^\alpha$ or $A^2 - 2AB + B^2 = 0$ is $n^2 - 1$; moreover such matrices (A, B) are simultaneously triangularizable. Let R be a reduced ring such that $n!$ is not a zero-divisor and A be a generic matrix over R ; we show that $X = 0$ is the sole solution of $AX - XA = X^\alpha$. Let R be a commutative ring with unity ; let A be similar to $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \cdots, \lambda_r I_{n_r})$ such that, for every $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ is not a zero-divisor. If X is a nilpotent solution of $XA - AX = X^\alpha g(X)$ where g is a polynomial, then $AX = XA$.

1. Introduction

• Let n be an integer at least 2. In the first part, K is assumed to be a field such that its characteristic $\mathrm{char}(K)$ is 0 or greater than n. Let k be an integer at least 2 and A, B be two $n \times n$ matrices, with entries in K, satisfying the matrix equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} A^{k-j} B^j = 0_n \tag{1}$$

In the following lines, we use the results of [5]. Firstly, A and B have same spectrum $(\lambda_i)_i$ over $\overline{K}$, the algebraic closure of K ; moreover, for every i, the generalized eigenspaces $E_{\lambda_i}(A)$ and $E_{\lambda_i}(B)$ are equal. Thus, to study the solutions (A, B) of Eq (1) can be reduced to study the restrictions of A, B to a generalized eigenspace $E_\lambda(A) = E_\lambda(B)$. Moreover, if (A, B) is a solution of Eq (1), then, for every $\mu \in K$, $(A - \mu I_n, B - \mu I_n)$ is also a solution of Eq (1). Finally it suffices to solve Eq (1) when A, B are assumed to be nilpotent matrices.
Note that $k = 2$ is a very special case ; indeed Eq (1) for $k = 2$ is

$$A^2 - 2AB + B^2 = 0_n \tag{2}$$

and is equivalent to

$$N^2 = [N, B] \text{ where } N = A - B. \tag{3}$$

Thus Eq (2) is linked to the equation in the unknown X

$$AX - XA = X^2. \tag{4}$$

Two matrices $A, B \in M_n(K)$ are said to be simultaneously triangularizable (abbreviated to ST) over K if there exists $P \in GL_n(K)$ such that $P^{-1}AP$ and $P^{-1}BP$ are upper triangular matrices.
We show

Proposition 1. *We assume that* $\mathrm{char}(K) > n$ *or is* 0. *If* (A, B) *is a solution of Eq (2), then* A *and* B *are ST over* $\overline{K}$.

Date: July-7-2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A24.

Note that the previous result is false with regard to the following (Eq (1) when $k = 3, n = 4$)

$$A^3 - 3A^2B + 3AB^2 - B^3 = 0_n. \tag{5}$$

We consider the relation linking the $n \times n$ matrices A, B

$$AB - BA = A^\alpha \text{ where } \alpha \geq 2. \tag{6}$$

We show that the dimension of the algebraic variety of pairs $(A, B) \in M_n(\overline{K})$, with B nilpotent, that satisfy Eq (2) or Eq (6) is $n^2 - 1$.
• In the second part, R is assumed to be a commutative ring and we study the equation

$$AX - XA = X^\alpha \text{ where } \alpha \geq 2. \tag{7}$$

Definition. *Let R be a commutative ring with unity and $A = [a_{i,j}]$ be a $n \times n$ matrix where the $(a_{i,j})$ are commuting indeterminates. If $\tilde{R}$ is the ring of the polynomials in the indeterminates $(a_{i,j})$ and with coefficients in R, then the algebra generated by A is in $M_n(\tilde{R})$. In particular, there are no polynomial relations, with coefficients in R, linking the $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$. We say that A is a* generic *matrix over R.*

When R is reduced (for every $u \in R$, $u^2 = 0$ implies $u = 0$), we obtain a precise result

Proposition 2. *Let $n \geq 2$, R be a reduced ring such that $n!$ is not a zero-divisor. Let $A \in M_n(\tilde{R})$ be a generic matrix. Then $X = 0$ is the sole solution of Eq (7).*

Else, we only obtain a partial result

Proposition 3. *Let R be a commutative ring with unity, $\alpha \geq 2$ and g be a polynomial with coefficients in R such that $g(0) \neq 0$. Let $X \in M_n(R)$ be a nilpotent solution of the equation*

$$XA - AX = X^\alpha g(X). \tag{8}$$

Then all elements of the two-sided ideal, in $M_n(R)$, generated by $AX - XA$ are nilpotent.

If A is diagonalizable and its spectrum is "good", then we obtain a complete solution

Theorem 1. *Let $A \in M_n(R)$ be similar to $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ such that, for every $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ is not a zero-divisor. If $n!$ is not a zero-divisor and $X \in M_n(R)$ is a solution of Eq (7), then there is $P \in GL_n(R)$ such that $A = P\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)P^{-1}$ and $X = P\mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)P^{-1}$ where, for every i, ${\mu_i}^\alpha = 0$.*

Finally, we show our main result

Theorem 2. *Let $A \in M_n(R)$ be similar over R to $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \cdots, \lambda_r I_{n_r})$ with $n_1 + \cdots + n_r = n$ and such that, for every $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ is not a zero-divisor. Let X be a nilpotent solution of Eq (8).*
i) Then there is $P \in GL_n(R)$ such that

$$A = P\ \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \cdots, \lambda_r I_{n_r})\ P^{-1} \text{ and } X = P\ \mathrm{diag}(X_1, \cdots, X_r)\ P^{-1}$$

where, for every i, $X_i \in M_{n_i}(R)$ and ${X_i}^\alpha g(X_i) = 0$.
ii) If moreover $g(0)$ is a unit, then $X^\alpha = 0$.

2. Equations (2), (5), (7) over a field

In this section , K is a field with characteristic not 2. Let J_n denote the nilpotent Jordan-block of dimension n. If A is a square matrix, then χ_A denotes its characteristic polynomial.
The following result is well-known (see [5])

Proposition. *If $n = 2$ and (A, B) is a solution of Eq (2), then $AB = BA$.*

Corollary 1. *Let $(A, B) \in M_n(K)^2$ be a solution of Eq (2). If the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of A is at most 2, then $AB = BA$.*

Proof. According to [5], we may assume that A, B are nilpotent matrices of dimension 2 and we conclude using the previous proposition. □

The following result is a slight improvement of [6, Theorem 1] or of [15, Theorem 11'].

Theorem 3. *We assume that* $\mathrm{char}(K) > n$ *or is* 0. *Let $A, B \in M_n(K)$ be such that $[A, B] = f(A)$ where f is a polynomial. Then A, B are ST over $\overline{K}$.*

Proof. Let V be the vector space spanned by $\{B, I_n, A, \cdots, A^{n-1}\}$. One checks easily by induction

$$\text{for all } i \geq 1, \quad A^iB - BA^i = iA^{i-1}f(A). \tag{9}$$

By Cayley-Hamilton's Theorem (that is valid on a commutative ring with unity), $A^iB - BA^i$ belongs to V, and V is a Lie's algebra. The derived series of V is

$$V_1 = [V, V] \subset K[A], \quad V_2 = [V_1, V_1] = \{0\}.$$

Thus V is solvable. According to Lie's Theorem (that is valid when $\mathrm{char}(K) > n$ or is 0, cf. [4, p. 38]), V is triangularizable, that is A, B are ST. □

We deduce **Proposition 1**

Proof. According to Eq (3) and Theorem 3, N, B and consequently, A, B are ST. □

Remark 1. *i) The hypothesis about* $\mathrm{char}(K)$ *is necessary ; indeed, if $n = 3$ and* $\mathrm{char}(K) = 3$, *then*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfy $[A, B] = A^2$ and $A^3 = I_3$.
ii) According to Theorem 3, if $(A, B) \in M_n(K)^2$ is a solution of

$$A^2 - 2AB + B^2 = P(A - B), \text{ where } P \text{ is a polynomial,}$$

then A and B are ST over $\overline{K}$.

Let $\alpha \in [\![2, n-1]\!]$. According to [10, Proposition 2.9], if $X \in M_n(\overline{K})$ is a solution of Eq (7), then each generalized eigenspace of $A \in M_n(\overline{K})$ is X-invariant. Thus we may assume that A is nilpotent.
We consider the algebraic varieties

$$S_{n,\alpha} = \{(A, B) \in M_n(\overline{K})^2 \mid B \text{ is nilpotent and } (A, B) \text{ satisfies Eq (6)}\}$$

$$\text{and } U_n = \{(A, B) \in M_n(\overline{K})^2 \mid B \text{ is nilpotent and } (A, B) \text{ satisfies Eq (2)}\}.$$

Recall that the algebraic variety N_n of nilpotent matrices in $M_n(\overline{K})$ has dimension n^2-n and is irreducible (cf. [2, Section: *The nilpotent cone*]). Note that the algebraic variety

$$W_n = \{(A,B) \in M_n(\overline{K})^2 \mid A, B \text{ are nilpotent and } AB = BA\}$$

has dimension $\dim(N_n) + (n-1) = n^2 - 1$ and is irreducible when $\mathrm{char}(K) > n$ (cf. [3]).

Proposition 4. *The dimension of $S_{n,\alpha}$ is n^2-1.*

Proof. A generic nilpotent matrix B is similar to J_n. Put $B = J_n$ and consider the equation $XJ_n - J_nX = X^\alpha$. According to [10, Remark 3.4], X is strictly upper triangular and we can express the entries $(x_{i,j})$ of X as functions of $x_{1,2}, \cdots, x_{1,n}$. Then the algebraic variety $Y_{n,\alpha} = \{X \mid XJ_n - J_nX = X^\alpha\}$ has dimension $n-1$. Moreover, if $x_{1,2}$ is chosen non-zero, then X is similar to J_n. Thus a component of $S_{n,\alpha}$ of maximal dimension is obtained for generic nilpotent matrices B. We deduce that $\dim(S_{n,\alpha}) = \dim(N_n) + \dim(Y_{n,\alpha}) = n^2 - 1$. □

Remark 2. *i) According to the previous proof, when $n > 2$, there are pairs (A,B) of $S_{n,\alpha}$ such that A and B do not commute.*
ii) Note that $\{(A,B) \in M_n(\overline{K})^2 \mid A, B$ are similar to J_n and satisfy Eq (6)$\}$ is Zariski open dense in a maximal component of $S_{n,\alpha}$.
iii) We may wonder whether $S_{n,\alpha}$ is irreducible when $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$.

Proposition 5. *The dimension of U_n is n^2-1.*

Proof. Note that A is also nilpotent. According to Proposition 4 with $\alpha = 2$ and Eq (3), $\dim(U_n) = \dim(S_{n,2}) = n^2 - 1$. □

Proposition 6. *We assume that* $\mathrm{char}(K) > 3$ *or is* 0. *Let $(A,B) \in M_3(K)$ be a solution of Eq (2) such that $AB \neq BA$. Then there are an invertible matrix P and $\lambda \in K$ such that $P^{-1}AP$ and $P^{-1}BP$ are both in the form* $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & * & * \\ 0 & \lambda & * \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$.
Conversely, there exist such solutions.

Proof. According to Corollary 1, necessarily A and B have a sole eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{trace}(A)$, that is necessarily in K. We conclude with Proposition 1. An instance of such a solution is $(J_3, \mathrm{diag}(0, \frac{1}{2}J_2))$. □

Remark 3. *i) We may wonder whether A, B are still ST when $k = 3$, that is when (A,B) is a solution of Eq (5). The answer is no, as we can see with the following solution of Eq (5) when $n = 4$ and* $\mathrm{char}(K) \geq 5$ *or* $= 0$

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4/3 & -1/3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3/4 & -3/4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J_4\right).$$

Clearly A, B are nilpotent and $[A,B]$ is invertible. We say that a pair (U,V) have property L (cf. [14]*) if there are orderings of the eigenvalues $(\lambda_i), (\mu_i)$ of U, V such that, for every $a \in K$, the eigenvalues of $U + aV$ are $(\lambda_i + a\mu_i)$; if U, V are ST, then they have property L. In our instance, (A,B) have not property L because, if $a \neq 0$, then $A + aB$ is not nilpotent.*
ii) We consider the algebraic variety

$$V_4 = \{(A,B) \in M_4(K)^2 \mid A, B \text{ are nilpotent and satisfy Eq (5) }\}.$$

We use a similar argument to that used in the proof of Proposition 4 ; by the Gröbner basis method, we solve Eq (5) with $A = J_4$; we obtain an algebraic set of solutions in B that has 6 as Hilbert dimension. A component of V_4 of maximal dimension is obtained for generic nilpotent matrices A, that is, for matrices A that are similar to J_4. Finally $\dim(V_4) = \dim(N_4) + 6 = 18$.
A similar calculation shows that the algebraic variety

$V_4 \cap W_4 = \{(A, B) \in M_4(K)^2 \mid A, B$ *are nilpotent* $, AB = BA$ *and* $(A - B)^3 = 0\}$

has dimension: $\dim(N_4) + 2 = 14$.

Let α, n be integers ≥ 2.

Proposition 7. *Let K be a field such that* $\mathrm{char}(K) > n$ *or is* 0. *If $A \in M_n(K)$ has n distinct eigenvalues in $\overline{K}$, then $X = 0$ is the sole solution of Eq (7).*

Proof. Note that A satisfies the property

$$\mathcal{P}: \text{ for every } Y \in M_n(K),\ \{AY = YA,\ Y^{\alpha} = 0\} \text{ imply } Y = 0.$$

Indeed we may assume that A is a diagonal matrix over $\overline{K}$. Since Y commute with A, Y is also diagonal and clearly, $Y = 0$. According to Theorem 3, $[A, X]$ is nilpotent and X too ; assume that k, the nilindex of X, is greater than α. According to Eq (9), $[A, X^{k-\alpha+1}] = 0$; by the property $\mathcal{P}$ and $X^{(k-\alpha+1)\alpha} = 0$, we deduce $X^{k-\alpha+1} = 0$, that is contradictory and therefore $k \leq \alpha$. Thus $X^{\alpha} = 0$ and $AX = XA$; by Property $\mathcal{P}$, we conclude that $X = 0$. □

Remark 4. *The previous result is shown, when K is a field of characteristic* 0, *in* [10, Proposition 2.5].

3. Equations (7), (8) over a ring

Definition. *i) Let $(R_i)_{i\in I}$ be commutative rings with unity. Their ring subdirect product R is defined if there is $f : R \to \Pi_{i\in I} R_i$ an injective ring homomorphism such that, for every $j \in I$, the projection of f on R_j is onto.*
ii) A commutative ring R with unity is reduced if for every $u \in R$, $u^2 = 0$ implies $u = 0$. That is equivalent to R is isomorphic to a subring of a direct product of fields or isomorphic to a subdirect product of domains (cf. [11, Theorem 11.6.7]*).*
For instance, $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a reduced ring with $\mathrm{char}(R) = 0$. *More generally,* $\mathrm{char}(R)$ *is* 0 *or a product of distinct primes. Note that $R = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is reduced with* $\mathrm{char}(R) = 0$ *and yet,* $3 = (0, 3)$ *is a zero-divisor.*

We show **Proposition 2**.

Proof. Since R is a subring of a direct product of algebraic closed fields $\Pi_{i\in I} K_i$, we may assume $R = \Pi_{i\in I} K_i$ where, for every $i \in I$, K_i is a field such that $\mathrm{char}(K_i) > n$ or is 0. Let $X = (X_i)_i$ and $A = (A_i)_i$. Thus, for any $i \in I$, $X_i A_i - A_i X_i = {X_i}^{\alpha}$ where the i^{th} component $A_i \in M_n(K_i)$ of A is generic ; then, for every i, the discriminant of χ_{A_i} is not 0 and the matrix A_i has n distinct eigenvalues. According to Proposition 7, for every $i \in I$, $X_i = 0$ and consequently $X = 0$. □

Proposition 8. *Let R be a commutative ring with unity such that $n!$ is not a zero-divisor and let $A \in M_n(R)$. If $X \in M_n(R)$ is a solution of Eq (7), then X is a nilpotent matrix.*

Proof. Note that $[A, X]$ and X commute and that the Cayley-Hamilton theorem is true over R. According to the proof of Jacobson lemma (cf. introduction of [7] and also [8] where an improvement of this result is stated within the framework of the algebraic operators on a complex Banach space), $n!\ [A, X]^{2^n-1} = 0$, that implies $[A, X]^{2^n-1} = 0$ and we are done. □

If A is generic over R, then we have a more precise result for small n, α.

Proposition 9. *Let $n = 2$, $2 \leq \alpha \leq 4$. Let R be a commutative ring with unity such that, if $\alpha = 2, 3$ or 4, then $2, 3!$ or $5!$ is not a zero-divisor. Let $A \in M_2(\tilde{R})$ be a generic matrix. If $X = [x_{i,j}] \in M_2(\tilde{R})$ is a solution of Eq (7) then*

$$AX - XA = X^\alpha = 0 \text{ and for every } (i,j),\ {x_{i,j}}^{2\alpha-1} = 0.$$

Proof. The 4 parameters are the $(a_{i,j})$. We have a system of 4 equations in the 4 unknowns $(x_{i,j})$. Using Gröbner basis theory in any specified characteristic, we obtain the required result. □

When $n = 3, 4, 5$ and $2 \leq \alpha \leq 4$, the calculations have great complexity ; thus we carry out specializations of the $(a_{i,j})$ in the ring R. Then we randomly choose the matrix A (in order to simulate the generic nature of the matrix) and we formally solve Eq (7) in the n^2 unknowns $(x_{i,j})$. Numerical experiments, again using Gröbner basis theory in characteristic great enough, lead to the following result: $X^\alpha = 0_n$ and for every (i,j), ${x_{i,j}}^{(\alpha-1)n+1} = 0$; for instance, if $n = 5, \alpha = 4$, then the supplementary condition is: "13 ! is not a zero-divisor". Therefore we conjecture

Conjecture. *Let $n \geq 2$, R be a commutative ring with unity satisfying a condition in the form: "the integer $\phi(n,\alpha)!$ is not a zero-divisor". Let $A \in M_n(\tilde{R})$ be a generic matrix. If $X = [x_{i,j}] \in M_n(\tilde{R})$ is a solution of Eq (7), then $AX - XA = X^\alpha = 0_n$ and for every (i,j), ${x_{i,j}}^{(\alpha-1)n+1} = 0$.*

Remark 5. *i) The instance $R = \mathbb{Z}/27\mathbb{Z}, U = 3I_2$ shows that if U is a nilpotent $n \times n$ matrix, then we have not necessarily $U^n = 0$.*
ii) In the previous conjecture, note that the exponent $(\alpha-1)n+1$ is very special ; indeed, if $U^\alpha = 0_n$, then we have

$$(trace(U))^{(\alpha-1)n+1} = 0,$$

and we cannot do better (cf. [1]*).*

Let R be a commutative ring with unit and $A \in M_n(R)$. We look for the *nilpotent* solutions $X \in M_n(R)$ of Eq (8), where $\alpha \geq 2$ and g is a polynomial in X, with coefficients in R, such that $g(0) \neq 0$. Then, according to Eq (9), for every i,

$$X^i A - AX^i = iX^{\alpha+i-1} g(X).$$

Let $\mathrm{val}(T)$ denote the valuation of the polynomial T, with the following convention: $\mathrm{val}(0) = +\infty$. In the sequel, X is a nilpotent solution of Eq (8).

Lemma 1. *Let u be a polynomial in X. Then, for every l, $uA^l = \sum_{i=0}^{l} A^i v_i$ where v_i is a polynomial in X with, for every i, $\mathrm{val}(v_i) \geq val(u)$.*

Proof. For every i, $X^i A = AX^i + v_0(X)$ with $\mathrm{val}(v_0) \geq i$. Then $uA = Au + v_1(X)$ with $\mathrm{val}(v_1) \geq \mathrm{val}(u)$. In the same way, $uA^2 = A^2u + 2Av_1 + v_2$ and $uA^3 = A^3u + 3A^2v_1 + 3Av_2 + v_3$ with $\mathrm{val}(v_3) \geq \mathrm{val}(v_2) \geq \mathrm{val}(v_1)$, and so on. □

Lemma 2. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $(v_i)_i$ be polynomials in X with, for every i, $\mathrm{val}(v_i) \geq \alpha$. Then*

$$(\sum_i A^i v_i)^k \text{ is in the form } \sum_i A^i w_i$$

where, for every i, w_i is a polynomial in X with $\mathrm{val}(w_i) \geq k\alpha$.

Proof. We use a reasoning by recurrence. Let $E = (\sum_i A^i v_i)^k = (\sum_i A^i z_i)(\sum_i A^i v_i)$ where z_i is a polynomial in X such that $\mathrm{val}(z_i) \geq (k-1)\alpha$. Using Lemma 1, $E = \sum_{i,j} A^i (z_i A^j) v_j = \sum A^i A^r y_r v_j$ where $\mathrm{val}(y_r) \geq (k-1)\alpha$. □

Lemma 3. *Let P, Q be polynomials in X, A. Then, for every k,*

$$(P(AX - XA)Q)^k \text{ is in the form } \sum_i A^i v_i$$

where, for every i, v_i is a polynomial in X such that $\mathrm{val}(v_i) \geq k\alpha$.

Proof. Let $E = (P(AX - XA)Q)^k = (PX^\alpha gQ)^k$. Then $E = \sum \Pi_{i=1}^k (P_i X^\alpha g Q_i)$ where, for every i, P_i, Q_i are monomials in the form $A^{i_1}X^{j_1}A^{i_2}X^{j_2}\cdots$. Assume, for instance, that $P_i = A^{i_1}X^{j_1}A^{i_2}X^{j_2}A^{i_3}X^{j_3}$. By Lemma 1,

$$P_i = A^{i_1}X^{j_1}A^{i_2}(\sum_r A^r u_r)X^{j_3} = \sum A^{i_1}X^{j_1}A^{i_2+r}u_r X^{j_3} = \sum\sum A^{i_1}A^s w_s u_s X^{j_3}$$

and finally P_i, Q_i are in the form $\sum_j A^j p_j, \sum_j A^j q_j$ where p_j, q_j are polynomials in X. Now

$$E = \sum \Pi_{i=1}^k (\sum_j A^j p_j) X^\alpha g (\sum_j A^j q_j) =$$

$$\sum (A^{i_1} p_1 X^\alpha g A^{j_1} q_1)\cdots(A^{i_k} p_k X^\alpha g A^{j_k} q_k) = \sum (A^{i_1}\tilde{v}_1)\cdots(A^{i_k}\tilde{v}_k),$$

where, for every i, $\mathrm{val}(\tilde{v_i}) \geq \alpha$. Using Lemma 2, $E = \sum_i A^i v_i$ where, for every i, $\mathrm{val}(v_i) \geq k\alpha$. □

We deduce **Proposition 3**

Proof. Use Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and the fact that X is a nilpotent matrix. □

Remark 6. *i) When R is an arbitrary algebraically closed field, the previous result is equivalent to: A and X are ST over R (cf.* [13] *and compare with Theorem 3).*
ii) When R is a ring, McCoy, in [12]*, gave an equivalent condition that, unfortunately, seems almost useless. In fact, if $AB = BA$ and A, B are triangularizable over R, then they have not necessarily a common eigenvector ; the following example, for $n = 2$, is due to J. Starr:*

$$R = \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\langle\epsilon^2\rangle, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that we can reduce (theoretically) the resolution of Eq (6) to the case $\alpha = 2$. Indeed, let (A, B) be satisfying Eq (6). According to Eq (9), $A^{\alpha-1}B - BA^{\alpha-1} = (\alpha-1)A^{2\alpha-2}$. Put $B = (\alpha-1)B_1, A_1 = A^{\alpha-1}$; if $\alpha - 1$ is not a zero-divisor, then $A_1B_1 - B_1A_1 = {A_1}^2$.

We have a more precise result when A is diagonalizable and its spectrum is "good".

Lemma 4. *Let $A \in M_n(R)$ be similar to $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ and $B \in M_n(R)$ such that $AB = BA$.*
i) Assume that, for every $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ is not a zero-divisor in R. Then A and B are simultaneously diagonalizable.
ii) Assume that, for every $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ is a unit. Then B is a polynomial in A of degree at most $n-1$ and with coefficients in R.

Proof. We may assume that $A = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$.
i) If $B = [b_{i,j}]$, then $AB - BA = [c_{i,j}]$ with $c_{i,j} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)b_{i,j}$; if $i \neq j$, then $b_{i,j} = 0$.
ii) According to *i)*, we may assume that $B = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)$. We must solve the linear system, in the unknowns $(\alpha_i)_{0 \leq i < n}$:

$$\text{for every } j,\ \mu_j = \sum_i \alpha_i {\lambda_j}^i.$$

Since the determinant of the associated Vandermonde matrix is a unit, we are done. □

Proposition 10. *Let $A \in M_n(R)$ be similar to $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ such that, for every $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ is not a zero-divisor and $B \in M_n(R)$. If A and $[A, B]$ commute, then $AB = BA$.*

Proof. We may assume that $A = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ and put $B = [b_{i,j}]$, $D = [d_{i,j}] = A[A, B] - [A, B]A$. We obtain $d_{i,j} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 b_{i,j} = 0$; therefore, if $i \neq j$, then $b_{i,j} = 0$. □

Now we prove **Theorem 1**

Proof. According to Proposition 8, X is nilpotent. Assume that the nilindex of X is $i + \alpha - 1$. According to Eq (9), X^i and A commute. Since $X^{i-\alpha+1}A - AX^{i-\alpha+1} = (i-\alpha+1)X^i$, $[X^{i-(\alpha-1)}, A]$ and A commute. According to Proposition 10, $[X^{i-(\alpha-1)}, A] = 0$. Then we obtain a finite sequence of matrices

$$(X^{i-k(\alpha-1)})_{0 \leq k < i/(\alpha-1)}$$

that commute with A and where, at each step, the exponent decreases by $\alpha - 1$. Finally we obtain a matrix X^β, with $\beta \in [\![1, \alpha - 1]\!]$, that commutes with A. Since $X^{\beta+\alpha-1} = 0$, $[X^\alpha, A] = \alpha X^{\beta+\alpha-1} X^{\alpha-\beta} = 0$. Finally $[X, A]$ and A commute, that implies $[A, X] = 0$. □

We show **Theorem 2**, our main result.

Proof. We may assume that $A = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1}, \cdots, \lambda_r I_{n_r}) = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)$.
i) • For every $k \geq 1$, $\ker((A - \lambda_1 I)^k) = [e_1, \cdots, e_{n_1}]$ where $(e_i)_i$ is the canonical basis of R. Indeed, if $x = [x_1, \cdots, x_n]^T$ and $(A - \lambda_1 I)^k x = 0$, then, for every i, $(\mu_i - \lambda_1)^k x_i = 0$. Thus, for every $i > n_1$, $x_i = 0$.
• $X(\ker(A - \lambda_1 I)) \subset \ker(A - \lambda_1 I)$. Indeed, this comes from the proofs of [6, Lemmas 1 and 2, Theorem 3]. Therefore $AX = XA$.
• In the same way than in the proof of Lemma 4 i), we obtain that X has the required form.
ii) In $M_n(R)$, $g(0)I_n$ is a unit and $g(X) - g(0)I_n$ is nilpotent ; therefore $g(X)$ is a unit and we are done. □

Remark 7. *In the previous proposition, consider a matrix X_i. According to* [9, Theorem 8.54]*, $\det(g(X_i))$ is the resultant $\mathrm{Res}(\chi_{X_i}, g)$. Therefore, if $\mathrm{Res}(\chi_{X_i}, g)$ is a unit, then ${X_i}^\alpha$ is zero again ; in general, it is not, as we see in the following instance: let $\tau \in R$ such that $\tau^2 \neq 0, \tau^3 = 0$, $X_i = \tau I_2$, $g(x) = \tau$ and $\alpha = 2$. Then ${X_i}^2 \neq 0$, ${X_i}^3 = 0$ and ${X_i}^2 g(X_i) = 0$.*

Acknowledgements. The author thanks J. Bračič for helpful discussions.

References

[1] G.Almkvist, *Endomorphisms of finite many projective modules over a commutative ring*, Arkiv fur matematik **11**, (1973), 263-301.
[2] J.P. Anker and B. Orsted (eds), Lie theory, Progress in mathematics, **228**, Birkhauser 2004.
[3] V. Baranovsky, *The variety of pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices is irreducible*, Transform. groups **6** (2001), 3-8.
[4] R. Borcherds, Berkeley University, mathematics 261AB, lecture 11, http://math.berkeley.edu/∼reb/courses/261/11.pdf
[5] A. Bostan, T. Combot, *A binomial-like matrix equation*, Amer. math. monthly, **119**, 7, (2012), 593-597.
[6] G. Bourgeois, *How to solve the matrix equation $XA - AX = f(X)$*, Linear algebra and applications, **434-3**, (2011), 657-668.
[7] J. Bračič, *On the Jacobson's lemma*, http://jankobracic.files.wordpress.com/2011/02/on-the-jacobsons-lemma.pdf
[8] J. Bračič and B. Kuzma, *Localizations of the Kleinecke-Shikorov theoerem*, Operators and matrices, **3**, (2007), 385-389.
[9] W. Brown, Matrices over commutative rings, Pure and applied mathematics.

[10] D. Burde, *On the matrix equation* $XA - AX = X^p$, Linear algebra and applications, **404**, (2005), 147-165.
[11] P. Cohn, Basic algebra: groups, rings and fields, Springer, 2003.
[12] N. McCoy, *A theorem on matrices over a commuting ring*, Bulletin of AMS, **45** (1939) 740-744.
[13] N. McCoy, *On the characteristic roots of matric polynomials*, Bulletin of AMS, **42** (1936) 592-600.
[14] T. Motzkin, O. Taussky, *Pairs of matrices with property L II*, Trans. amer. math. soc. **80** (1955), 387-401.
[15] H. Shapiro, *Commutators which commute with one factor*, Pacific J. of math., **181-3** (1997) 323-336.

Gérald Bourgeois, GAATI, Université de la polynésie française, BP 6570, 98702 FAA'A, Tahiti, Polynésie Française.
E-mail address: bourgeois.gerald@gmail.com